	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISC		
AIRS ID#: 7775163 DA	TE: <u>10/27/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:35AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:20AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CA	RIEQUIPMENT INC.			
FACILITY LOCATION	5800 NW 122 Avenue			
	MIAMI 33166			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	D REPRESENTATIVE: SE OD: 4/27/2007 / 4/26/202 (effective date) (end date)	M Pl M	HONE: (305)592-2144 lobile: HONE: lobile:	
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE				
	RODUCTORY MEETING			only one h question)
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s): <u>Sergio Martine</u>	<u>27</u>		1 /
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Reputer If no, who is?:	resentative still SERGIO MAR	TINEZ?	Yes	No
	cility provide an administrative still ?			□No □No
4. Will facility be conduc If yes, was the complia	cting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least	inspection? 15 days in advance?	Xes Xes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section

<u>1</u>-Concrete Batch Plant with one (1) baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check downly one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>10/28/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Ya	(check ☑ only one box for each question) ards
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contr emissions by:	col unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or n 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nece 	Yes No
control emissions?	e
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entra particulate matter from stock piles?	inment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	e truck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(ah a ah 🔽	a
	(check ☑ box for each	
		question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
2. Does this facility include:		
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exceptio units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		🗌 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🗌 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 		 □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		□ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

	ek \square only one each question) on 2.)
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	es 🛛 No
	es 🗌 No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? X c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] 	es 🗌 No
	es 🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Y If YES, what was the purpose?	es 🗌 No
	es No No

<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes:	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or auth	orized representative not
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or	any emissions units or
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change a	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes 🛛 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes 🛛 No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	2 Yes No
d. A change in ownership?	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the ap	ppropriate fee submitted
30 days prior to the change?	Yes No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

10/27/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

10/27/2011

COMMENTS: On October 27, 2011 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to attend the visible emissions test. On site I met Sergio Martinez, the owner of the facility and Tony Mazpule, the consultant of the facility. Bill Arlington, Arlington Environmental Services, conducted the VE test. The silo was loaded with cement at a rate of 10-12 PSI. Facility produces approximately 1000 yards of cement per month. I did not observe any visible emissions during the test. No fugitive emissions were observed around the facility.